

Handwriting Reports, LLC.

Compatibility Graphoanalysis Report

Person 1: Sam Smith

Person 2: Ann Smith

Report Date: January 10, 2000



John D. Deans
Certified Graphoanalyst



Voice: 979.289.2233
Fax: 281.754.4497
Email: John@HandwritingReports.com
Address: 6206 Ganske Road
Burton, Texas 77835

Introduction

The mission of Handwriting Reports is to provide with the utmost integrity and value our clients highly accurate personality profile assessments through handwriting analysis. Utilizing Graphoanalysis, the scientific discipline of handwriting analysis that encompasses the largest organized international body of handwriting analysts, we are able to reveal and evaluate the similarities and differences in the personalities of a couple. This information can be used by one to further understand how the other thinks and reacts to life situations. The key purpose of this Compatibility Report is to bring to light the differences about which two people can learn and the similarities they can share. This knowledge of each other's personality profile can enhance the relationship, explain the origin of many conflicts and point out potential areas of concern that may need to be addressed.

How Handwriting Analysis (Graphoanalysis) Works:

Your brain controls your writing. Although you have formed the characters as a result of habits, the pressure and the formation of individual parts of the letters are in themselves a picture of the force and purpose that existed in your brain. Your writing becomes more than a mechanical operation; it is a process of putting on paper a picture of the WAY YOU THINK. The handwriting betrays or portrays the mental activities of the writer. Think of handwriting analysis as "concentrated body language on paper."

This report is broken down into ten sections as described below:

I. Emotional Responsiveness - These levels measure the inner reaction of the individual to experiences. There are seven degrees of emotional responsiveness which can range from complete lack of responsiveness and a presence of self-interest to mild responsiveness tempered by objectivity and judgement. These levels can also display strong responsiveness and impulsiveness. Emotional depth is measured to reveal how long and intense one remembers emotional experiences. Rhythm is also documented to bring to light one's consistency and regularity of emotional responsiveness.

II. Mental Processes - The methods a person employs in thinking, how mentally alert he or she is, and how he or she attempts to solve problems. The five mental processes that will be evaluated in the section are 1)Analytical 2)Comprehensive 3)Cumulative 4)Exploratory and 5)Investigative.

III. Forces to Achieve - These forces include goal setting and driving traits that help attain those goals. This report will show how high or low each partner sets their goals. Their will power in setting those goals and the determination required to reach the goals are measured and displayed. The report also notes their outlook factor which ranges from optimistic to pessimistic.

IV. Fears and Anxieties - Most people have some anxieties and even fears. Acknowledging and understanding one's own anxieties and fears along with those of the partner can not only enhance a relationship but also help to avoid and/or resolve conflicts.

V. Defenses - When fears and anxieties arise, defenses are activated. These defenses are grouped into three categories: 1)Adjustment 2)Resistance and 3)Escape. When times get rough one or more of these defenses may be employed.

VI. Communication Assessment - This measures the desire, ability and quality of communication. Six areas of communication are inventoried which disclose how each partner chooses to express themselves verbally.

VII. Desire for Change and Variety - Potential challenges may arise in monogamous relationships due to issues dealing with the need for significant change and variety.


VIII. Anger .vs. Control - Traits such as irritability, temper and impulsiveness need to be restrained by control traits like self-control, pride, dignity, caution, decisiveness, self-confidence, will power and objectiveness.

IX. The Big Three: Money, Sex & Time - These are the big three sources of conflict between partners. These three problems have broken couples up for centuries. Understanding a partner's similarities and differences in money management, sexual capacity and time efficiency can be very beneficial.


X. Summary - The prior nine sections are evaluated and graphically displayed. The compatibility summary highlights the strengths and weakness by combining the two Handwriting Reports.

I. Emotional Responsiveness


Emotional Perspectograph - The level of emotional responsiveness measures an individual's capacity to respond to stimuli that arouse emotions. This graph displays the range of responsiveness in seven possible degrees. The degrees of responsiveness are defined by color in the box to the right.




Emotional Compass - This reveals the directional sources of feelings, thoughts and actions which are determined by combining the seven degrees of Emotional Responsiveness (displayed above) into three groups: Head Directed = Objective & Cool Headed, Heart Directed = Emotional & Sometimes Impulsive, Self Directed = Withdrawn & Sometimes Self-centered.



Emotional Depth - Emotional depth reveals the extent to which an individual absorbs and retains emotional experiences. A person with a deep emotional nature will carry feeling, hurt or happiness, for a long long time. One with a lighter emotional depth may seem to ignore hurts or pleasures and might only be pleased or hurt temporarily.



Emotional Rhythm - The consistency and regularity of emotional responsiveness. With Rhythm the personality has stability, control and integration; without it, one can expect instability, lack of control and disorganization.




Section I Summary

Sam's Perspectograph and Emotional Compass show that he thinks and acts with his head. Though he is strongly logical and objective, he does have his emotional side. Ann has a more distributed responsiveness letting her heart and emotions play more into her everyday life. Her solid emotional consistency or rhythm helps keep any high emotions in check. Sam may be a bit less consistent emotionally than Ann. Sam's emotional depth gives him the tendency to feel things strongly for a longer period of time than Ann.

IV. Fears and Anxieties

Most people have some anxieties and even fears. Acknowledging and understanding one's own anxieties and fears along with those of the partner can not only enhance their relationship but also help them to avoid and/or resolve conflicts.




Section IV Summary

Neither one is jealous, withdrawn, or overly sensitive. Sam may be a little sensitive about criticism towards himself whereas Ann would be about her accomplishments. Sam could be self-conscious occasionally. Ann may be underestimating her abilities and have trouble making decisions.

V. Defenses

When the fears and anxieties start up, the defenses are activated. These defenses are grouped into three categories being 1)Adjustment 2)Resistance and 3)Escape. When times get rough one or more of these defenses may be employed.




Section V Summary

Sam and Ann's defense composition is quite alike in that both prefer to adjust to problems the majority of the time. This is enhanced by Sam's objectivity and pride along with Ann's independent thinking as well as fluidity of her thinking and speech that flow easily and smoothly from one thought to another.

VI. Communication

The desire, ability and quality of talking to each other. Six areas of communication are inventoried which disclose how each partner chooses to express himself/herself verbally.


RETICENCE - A disinclination to express one's feelings or opinions.



TALKATIVENESS - The nature to freely communicate one's ideas and feelings.


DECEPTIVENESS -

The tendency to mislead purposely through speech or actions; deliberate misrepresentation.



FRANKNESS - The absence of secretiveness or deceit in the expression of one's thoughts or behavior.


SARCASTIC - The use of bitter, caustic or stinging remarks expressing contempt, often by ironical statements.



DIPLOMATIC - The ability to deal with others without friction.


NARROW-MINDED

- Unwillingness to consider the merits of views, ideas and practices differing from one's own.




OPEN-MINDED - Open to new ideas and free from prejudice.

EVASIVE - The attribute of being intentionally vague or ambiguous; dodging; not straightforward.



DIRECT - The ability to bypass small talk and come straight to the point.

IMPATIENT - Restively eager, easily annoyed because of delay or opposition.



PATIENT - Able to bear suffering or provocations with calmness and self-control.


Section VI Summary

Sam and Ann will have their talkative and quite times with Sam on the more verbal side and Ann on the quite side. Both can be frank and quite direct. Ann and Sam can also be secretive and occasionally sarcastic. Sam appears to narrow-minded on some issues and open-minded on others. Ann will tend to keep much more of an open mind than Sam.

VII. Desire for Change and Variety

Potential challenges may arise in monogamous relationships due to issues dealing with the need for significant change and variety.

Desire for Change and Variety - Evidence of restlessness, need for constant change, the inability to be satisfied in an inactive or sedentary situation.




Section VII Summary

The desire for change and variety is above average in both personalities with Sam opting for change and Ann for variety. Since neither is impulsive, this should not be an issue.

VIII. Anger .vs. Control

Traits such as irritability, temper and impulsiveness need to be restrained by control traits like self-control, pride, dignity, caution, decisiveness, self-confidence, will power and objectiveness. IF a HIGH ANGER FACTOR is accompanied by a LOW CONTROL FACTOR there could be a high risk of problems.




Section VIII Summary

This is very good to see. Sam and Ann have low anger factors and high control factors to govern most hostility. Between the two, Ann may be the one to let her anger get the best of her, but that should be rare.


IX. The Big Three - Money, Sex & Time

These are the big three sources of conflict between partners. These three problems have broken many couples up for centuries. Understanding a partner's similarities and differences in money management, sexual capacity and time efficiency can be very beneficial.


Money Management - This report section will rate both partner's money management tendencies. **Supportive** money management traits include objectiveness, caution, conservatism, generosity, attention to details, will power, moderate acquisitiveness and tenacity, and decisiveness. **Reductive** influences are confusion of interests, extravagance, shallowness, impulsiveness, extreme generosity, high acquisitiveness and low tenacity.



Sexual Capacity - This is a gray and difficult area to rate. A higher rating includes **Supportive** traits such as emotional responsiveness, sensuousness, intuitiveness, generosity, open-mindedness, patience, physical mindedness, self-confidence and enthusiasm. Lower ratings will contain **Reductive** traits that included vanity, self-consciousness, sensitivity to criticism, narrow-mindedness, withdrawal, impatience, and self-centeredness.



Time Efficiency - Time is a critical factor in an intimate relationship. Efficient utilization of time from both partners can enhance their interaction. **Supportive** traits that enable one to utilize time efficiently include organizational ability, directness, decisiveness, fluidity and initiative. Traits that may have **Reductive** affects are procrastination, stubbornness, indecisiveness, and yieldingness.




Section IX Summary

This is another good comparison. Both Sam and Ann's positive traits outweigh their negative traits in all three of the big problem areas. Ann slightly leads Sam in Money Management due to Sam's possibly having too many interests causing occasional confusion. Ann may also have a greater Sexual Capacity due to her strong generosity, physical-mindedness and emotional responsiveness. Both are quite efficient with Time Management enhanced by Sam's organizational ability and Ann's fluidity.

In the order of potential problems, it would be Sexual Capacity and then Money Management, both of which appear to be minor.

X. Report Summary

The previous nine sections of this report have hopefully shed light on the similarities and differences between the partners' personalities. The graphical summary below maps components of the previous report sections.



Overall Compatibility Summary

No two human beings are alike. We all develop our beliefs and principles based on our life experiences. A couple with perfectly matching personalities would not only be an impossibility, but also a very boring match. Profiles that are vastly different can be a powder keg waiting to explode.

The key purpose of this Compatibility Report is to bring to light the differences that two people can learn about and the similarities they can share. This knowledge of each other's personality profile can enhance the relationship, explain the origin of many conflicts and point out potential areas of concern that may need to be addressed.

Sam and Ann have similar Handwriting Reports. Both have objectivity, self-discipline, loyalty and good determination. Sam, like Ann can be indecisive at times, but when he does make a decision he will stick with it. He is also quite enthusiastic and can be argumentative. Their different mental processes can work together to enable this couple to comprehend and understand an abundant amount.

Ann shows strong cultural interests and a solid attention for details. She is also persistent and focuses on everyday down-to-earth and realistic activities. Ann is an independent thinker not needing other's approval.

This Compatibility Graphoanalysis Report finds no significant problem areas in these two Handwriting Reports.